



# The Christian Party of America

*"One Nation Under God"*



## *Christian Party of America Responses to Objections*

To Our Members, Affiliates, and Friends,

In the process of recruiting for the Christian Party of America, we face numerous objections. The following are examples of the major objections, *with sound responses included*. The objections vary somewhat (for example, in wording) from person to person, but the actual meanings are consistently the same. The ***bold italicized*** portions cover the most basic parts of the responses. The regular *italicized* portions supply additional support to rebut the objections.

---

Objection #1: The Republican Party is America's only real hope.

***Response: If that were true, America would have no hope, at all. The consistent behavior of the Republican Party and its representatives, right up until now, has been betrayal of those whom they claim to represent and capitulation to liberal Democrats. What evidence is there that this deadly trend will ever change? When the Republicans are not working with the Democrats to undermine America in various ways, they typically fail, or refuse to reverse, the Democrats' assaults on our rights, freedoms, and prosperity – usually, while making lame excuses for their betrayals. Anyway, if there is a choice between truly American interests, on one hand, and globalist/corporate interests, on the other, the Republicans will usually side with the globalists. By the way, the Ron Paul movement, the Tea Parties, etc., will not be able to stop the entrenched Republican leadership from behaving this way.***

Objection #2: A “third party” can never win.

***Response: That's strange. A former “fourth party” party called “the Republican Party,” already has won – big, at times. When they started out, there were three larger parties in existence. The two big parties – or rather, two wings of the one big, ruling party – work together with each other and the big media to keep opposing parties, candidates, and ideas off the ballot and out of the public eye. Besides, a person's vote (and other political support) is not***

*supposed to be like a bet on a horse race or a football pool; it's an indication or test of that person's character.*

Objection #3: Well, it'll take 20 (or 30, or 40...) years for any new or third party to be able to win.

**Response:** *Well, in history, the case of the Republican Party itself proves that such is not the case. However, even if you won't believe the testimony of historical evidence, I still suggest that you get on board now. This way, you won't be working to delay the growth of this truly American party – this real hope for America – any longer.*

Objection #4: This is the most important election (i.e., election year) in our lifetime; therefore, you have to stick with the Republicans, at least one more time... It's no time for a "third party"...

**Response:** *This is what the Republicans will say in 2012, 2014, 2016..., just as they said the same thing in 2008, 2006, 2004...1976... When will you stop allowing them to use this sucker game on you? All they do with power is further degrade the country, although in a somewhat different way, and perhaps at a different speed than the Democrats. How long will you continue to drink their snake oil – their false choice between the neo-con, open borders, globalist Republicans and the liberal, open borders, globalist Democrats?*

Objection #5: You have to vote for the lesser of the two evils, if you want to win.

**Response:** *Even if that were true – which it is not – it is an obvious and disgusting rejection of clear Biblical principle and Christian morality on the matter. God's word and will extend to all areas of human affairs. True disciples of the Lord Jesus Christ cannot in good conscience embrace such an abomination. While from the Biblical Christian perspective, there are, no doubt, numerous flaws in this unsound form of philosophizing, let's cut to the heart of the matter. For a Christian to accept such a canard, he/she must be willing to accept at least two potentially blasphemous notions (though, at this time, most American Christians don't understand such facts). First, this objection assumes that it is useless (or, at best, insufficient) to trust and obey (fully) the Lord in this matter. Second, it assumes that all-holy God excuses, or perhaps even endorses, the commission of some type and degree of evil -- and this seems to imply a third blasphemy, i.e., that such sinful behavior may even accomplish His all-holy ends! When properly exposed, such reasoning is offensive and scandalous to true disciples of the Lord Jesus Christ.*

*If Jesus is not Lord over all areas of a believer's life, that believer has one or more "idols" in his/her life. The Republican Party and its supposed unique efficacy with respect to "saving" our*

*nation can, most assuredly, be an idol in a person's life. Sadly, this is the sorry situation in the lives of multitudes of "professing Christians," who vote in elections throughout his country. Millions of American "Christians" trust (in robot-like fashion) in either the Republican Party or the Democratic Party to deliver our nation from destruction. (Also, they frequently trust in one or more talk show hosts [often, parroting or, otherwise, citing their favorite idol's utterances as though these were canonical].) Why don't they trust the Savior, in accordance with His word? No wonder God has so obviously been chastising the USA!*

*By the way, it should be asked -- How has that lesser-of-two-evils, two-party, left-right, etc., scam been working in recent years? Even when a "lesser evil" guy wins an election, the nation still loses from his actions in office.*

Objection #6: You will just steal (or split) the Republican vote (or the Republican Party's conservative base).

*Response: When did the Republicans come to own the votes of other people? Is it their birthright or did they buy the votes of millions of other American citizens? Obviously, no one has any claim at all to anyone else's vote. To claim otherwise is ridiculous on its face. By the way, with regard to the Republican Party's base, what's the Republican definition of "conservative" this week?*

Objection #7: We're running out of time. We have to stick with the Republicans, or we will lose our country, our freedoms, and just about everything else.

*Response: If we, as American Christians, stand up for the Lord Jesus Christ as we should, He will provide us with all we need to do His will, including the time. It is painfully obvious in many ways that the Republicans, as well as the Democrats, have consciously parted company with the God of the Bible (as well as with the Constitution and our Founding traditions). Neither party can (nor will) save you from such threats as national bankruptcy, the destruction of our national sovereignty, globalism, Communist China, or creeping Islam, which are encroaching upon our nation – because of them!*

Objection #8: I vote for the person, not the party.

*Response: That is pretty much, pure self-deception. If the candidate on the ballot, who you vote for, has a letter by his name, such as, "D" or "R," you ARE voting for his party – the party he has joined and which holds his loyalty, unless and until he chooses to leave it. He WILL, regardless, of how wonderful a person he may be, support his party (and its interests, bills, policies, etc.) the vast majority of the time. I am reminded of the arrogance of a Republican congressman from Texas, who was being interviewed right after voting for the first*

*big bank bailout, which G.W. Bush, et al., brought forth. This “conservative” congressman – Kevin Brady, by name – admitted that about 80% of his constituents who called in concerning the matter, voiced opposition to this unconstitutional piece of legislation. However, then, in characteristic ruling class arrogance, Brady calmly defended his sorry deed by saying, “I believe my people expect me to represent their interests, not their opinions,” thereby assuming a position of superiority. Incidentally, if you are counting on the Paul family, the Tea Parties, et al., to redeem the Republican Party, you are likely to be in for a rude awakening. The two big parties are owned – lock, stock, and barrel – from the top down, by globalist elites. Inside that two-party political machine, the grassroots folks can always have their efforts blunted. Outside that monstrosity, it’s another matter altogether.*

Objection #9: I've heard that the Christian Party is a bunch of Christian religious fanatics.

***Response:*** (1) *Well, if you are a Christian, do you consider yourself to be a fanatic? (2) Do you consider your Christian friends and neighbors to be fanatics? In answer to both questions, every survey on the matter that comes out indicates that over 70% of the US population claims to be Christian.* (Most of the Founding Fathers viewed themselves as Christians, too.) Now, whether or not all those Americans are (or were) truly Christian or not, the general opinion is clear – most Americans have always viewed themselves as Christians – and they still do. So, why should a Christian-based and Christian-led Constitutional party be a problem? Furthermore, no one wants to create a church-state or to deny anyone's rights. In fact, just the opposite is the case. We want to maximize freedom under just law for all law-abiding American citizens. Moreover, is it a strange or dangerous idea that likeminded people would want to join together in a private, voluntary organization simply to promote their own harmless point-of-view? Secularists and others do it all the time. Moreover, we do not suppress the liberties of others simply by exercising our own. If this were not true, every person who ever promoted a particular opinion in the political arena, which opinion did not already possess universal acceptance, would be guilty of suppressing the rights of others.

Objection #10: I'm not a Christian, but I am a Constitutionalist.

***Response:*** *We welcome you as a “Friend of the Party.” However, we hold to the counsel of First Chief Justice John Jay, who pointed out that this “Christian nation” should “prefer Christians” for its leaders. We believe that devout Christians are generally more constrained by their faith to be accountable for their actions. And we will hold members of the Christian Party of America accountable to the Constitution and their oath of office. We, therefore, require a personal Christian profession for sustaining, that is, intra-party voting, membership. If this requirement does not satisfy your concerns, you may consider our friends in the AIP, who do not have this requirement. Nevertheless, the most important issue in life is not political; it's spiritual. We hope you will seriously study and consider the claims of the Lord Jesus Christ.*

Objection #11: I don't think you should mix religion and politics.

**Response:** *Well, it happens regularly, in almost all aspects of life. In fact, at times, it's unavoidable, even for many professing secularists. Worldview issues come into play all the time, believe it or not – whether you like it or not.*

Objection #12: You people are off-base because the Founding Fathers were just a bunch of deists.

**Response:** *Well, you are, certainly, at liberty to believe a falsehood – such as that bit of historical revisionism. If you insist upon that error, you may wish to check out the Libertarians. They may well agree with you.*

Objection #13: We're so close to the rapture that it's all a waste of time.

**Response:** *Serving the Lord, no matter the situation, is never “a waste of time.” Remember: Jesus said, “Occupy till I come.”* That is, “Do business till I come” (*Luke 19:13*) – *He did not exclude proper Christian citizenship from that command.* Besides, if you believe, as the erring Thessalonians did, that we should just stop all “non-spiritual” activity and wait for the Lord to take us out of this world, you should start by quitting your job, stop sending your children to school, stop watching football games, quit going on vacations, stop eating at restaurants, etc....

Objection #14: Do you want to take away the freedom of others and maybe try to set up a church/state or theocracy?

**Response:** *No, of course not.* Rather, we seek to hold ourselves and the candidates, whom we vet and support, to a higher standard. Also, we fully intend to protect our party's core moral principles and character by employing certain *internal safeguards against subversion.* The fact that the original intents and principles in other parties – especially, the big ones – have been undermined and largely tossed aside is obvious.

**We wish to restore the protections of all U.S. citizens' rights under just law, not to diminish them (unlike the Democrats and the Republicans).** Also, whoever may be foolish enough to try to establish a “theocracy” in America or in the American political system will find himself both totally opposed by us and, far more than that, in the hopeless position of competing against the one true and proper theocracy, the Kingdom of Heaven. Further, that Kingdom will only be physically placed in power on earth when the Lord Jesus Christ personally and physically returns to earth – no sooner. He controls that matter; no one, here below, does.

**In attempting to lead the way toward the restoration of the Constitutional Republic, two of our primary goals are: (1) developing and presenting superior candidates for public office – true statesmen, who will perform as true public servants, and not as petty, self-serving politicians or party hacks; and (2) providing an uncompromising opportunity for Christians and others with high moral principles to be fully involved as good citizens in the complete range of public life.**

As a matter of good sense and intellectual honesty, it is commendable for citizens to educate themselves about the true, original intent of the broad base of Founding Fathers in creating the U.S. Constitution, including the Bill of Rights. Within this context, an honest examiner will necessarily conclude that the Johnny-come-lately yammering about “separation of church and state” springs from a jaundiced and historically recent misreading of a private letter from President Thomas Jefferson to the Danbury, Connecticut **BAPTISTS**, in which letter Mr. Jefferson sought to assuage their concerns about government intrusion into their lives and their churches, not the other way around – i.e., concerns about church intrusion into government. In fact, President Jefferson was alluding to a then locally-popular idea attributed to Roger Williams, the founder of Rhode Island. This idea, “the garden and the wilderness” analogy, was presented by Williams, a champion of religious liberty. The orderly, peaceful, pleasant “garden” represented the church (or churches). The wild, out-of-control “wilderness” represented the government. The point of the message is that the garden (the church) needed a strong defensive “wall of separation” to protect it from the wilderness (the government), not the other way around. The reversal of interpretation of this matter took place only in the 1940’s. That new revisionist misinterpretation was created out of thin air. **Finally, a plain reading of the First Amendment will prove to any honest person, who is literate in the English language, that such words and ideas as “separation of church and state” are simply not there – neither in the wording of the First Amendment, nor anywhere else in the Constitution. In order to find such ideas, one may look to the constitution of the former Soviet Union.**

Objection #15: Members of a party that has the word “Christian” in its name had better not show human frailty.

**Response:** All Christians are “saved” human beings, but still human beings, nonetheless. Therefore, expecting sinless perfection of us is an unreasonable notion (likely promoted by a perverse, self-serving motive). *[Usually, the ones doing the “expecting” have no room to criticize, because when they do, they reveal themselves to be dishonest, small-souled hypocrites, attempting to justify their own wrong-doing.]* As disciples of Jesus Christ, we are to strive to serve God at all points, while attempting to avoid sin. Even so, unless we cooperate with God’s grace, we necessarily fall short.

Nevertheless, the overall character of a believer’s life, and thus the character of the lives of our Party’s members, is more accurately the issue at hand. Our intention is to grow in Christ and, thus, become more Christ-like. We seek to walk rightly all along, but in His time the Lord will fully transform His own people. *He is our Judge – and everyone else’s,*

**too. No lost sinner is competent to stand in for Him. If, in general, we do not conduct ourselves in a manner more in keeping with God's teachings than others do, then there is room for legitimate criticism of the specks in our eyes from those who do not have beams in their own eyes.**

*If the sins of some members are sufficient cause to make a party such as ours illegitimate – unworthy of joining, maintaining, supporting, or existing – then it seems that a similar argument can be made against the continued existence of every local church and Christian denomination. Moreover, no sane, clear-headed Christian could believe that he/she should cease identifying with the Lord, based upon the fact that he/she does not, as yet, have a perfect, sinless walk with Him. Besides, it is obvious that the CPA cannot ensure with absolute certainty that everyone who joins our Party is truly “born again.”*

For those who do not have a walk with Him at all, I suggest that you carefully and prayerfully read *John* and *Romans* in the New Testament of the Holy Bible. Your life could be dramatically changed for the better.

For One Nation under God,

Clell M. Drumheller, II

Chairman,  
Christian Party of America

[www.christianpartyonline.us](http://www.christianpartyonline.us)  
1-866-278-3927